

THE IMPACT OF MICRO FINANCE PERFORMANCE IN UGANDA: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Aina-Obe Shamsuddin Bolatito¹ (PhD), Nabukeera Madinah² (PhD)

Faculty of Management Studies (FMS), Dept. of Public Administration Islamic University In Ugandan, IUIU Mbale Campus¹, Kaboja Female Campus² E-mail: Sam1421h@yahoo.com¹ / nabmadinah@gmail.com²

Abstract

This systematic review aims to investigate the impact of microfinance performance on socioeconomic development in Uganda. Microfinance institutions (MFIs) have grown in popularity as tools for poverty reduction and economic development as a provision of financial services to lowincome individuals and businesses. It has been used to alleviate poverty in many developing countries, including Uganda. However, the impact of microfinance on the performance of microfinance institutions (MFIs) in Uganda is poorly understood.

This study conducts a comprehensive evaluation of current literature review aims to synthesize the evidence on the impact of microfinance performance in Uganda with a view to deliver valuable insights into the impact of (MFIs) as it helps improve the live of the low-income individuals and communities with the country.

The article further analyzes the success of microfinance efforts in Uganda, with an emphasis on their contribution to important development indicators such as poverty reduction, job creation, financial inclusion and improve access to essential services such as education and healthcare. This review synthesizes findings from a comprehensive analysis of peer-reviewed publications, reports, and empirical research to offer insights into the extent to which microfinance programs have accomplished their desired outcomes in the Ugandan environment. The review also investigates the problems and constraints that MFIs confront in delivering meaningful impact. The findings present a detailed assessment of Uganda's current level of microfinance performance with recommendation for the most successful MFIs and policy makers that are well-managed, have a strong focus on financial education, and offer a variety of products and services.

Keywords: Micro-finance performance, Socio-Economic Development, Poverty Alleviation, Financial inclusion and employment generation.

1.0 Introduction

Microfinance has been an important instrument in reducing poverty and promoting economic growth in many developing nations, including Uganda. Researchers and policymakers have been interested in the impact of microfinance performance in Uganda. A thorough evaluation of studies on this issue sheds light on the efficiency of microfinance institutions (MFIs) in Uganda and their contribution to socioeconomic development. Several studies have looked at how microfinance affects different facets of Ugandan development. These studies investigated the role of MFIs in providing financial services to marginalized people, encouraging entrepreneurship, empowering women, and stimulating economic growth at the local level. These studies' findings have helped

shape policies and initiatives targeted at improving MFI performance and maximizing their influence on poverty reduction and sustainable development in Uganda.

Microfinance institutions (MFIs) play a crucial role in promoting financial inclusion and poverty alleviation in developing countries like Uganda. This systematic review aims to consolidate and analyze the existing literature on the impact of microfinance performance in Uganda (Drori et al., 2020). Microfinance is commonly characterized as the provision of financial services to individuals of impoverished and low-income backgrounds, facilitated by various entities operating within the financial sector. The entity in question is a singular institution that provides basic financial services to low-income earners with the financial capacity to meet their basic needs for not having access to credit from the financial banking sectors. Microfinance offers more easier financial support and easy financial survival for people with low-income means in the least developed countries such as household breadwinners, farmers, and petty traders as welfare approaches and economic survival. Therefore, Microfinance became a win-win scheme that helps to reduce poverty and subsidy for a sustainable economy in low-income communities and Nations (Dorfleitner et al., 2020). The concept of microfinance is frequently employed in a more restricted sense to denote financial loans and related services offered by entities that self-identify as "microfinance institutions" (MFIs). These organizations frequently employ contemporary methodologies developed over the past three decades to disburse minimal loans to individuals without fixed incomes, often with few or no collateral requirements. (Girijan & Ramachandran, 2022). These services provided by Microfinance houses in Uganda had been considered a national development program to help, assist and save the vulnerable population of the people at the center and the countryside of the country. With it, there are easy access to financing small-scale projects, the propensity to invest increases business opportunities, and allows more investments. Microfinance schemes in most East Africa countries had proven to be attractive, and brought most citizens to financial sustainability and attaining good standard of living. The scheme is a key development intervention in Uganda for over two decades. The sector has grown rapidly, with over 2,000 microfinance institutions (MFIs) providing financial services to millions of people. (Tushabomwe and Kemeza, 2006). Microfinance has emerged as an essential instrument for increasing people's access to financial services and alleviating poverty in developing countries. This systematic review aims to comprehensively assess the impact of microfinance performance in Uganda, shedding light on its effectiveness in addressing socio-economic challenges and empowering marginalized communities. By synthesizing evidence from a range of studies seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the outcomes and limitations of microfinance initiatives in the Ugandan context. The review begins by outlining the systematic search and selection process for relevant studies, including academic databases, reports, and grey literature. A rigorous inclusion and exclusion criteria ensure that only high-quality studies related to microfinance performance in Uganda are included in this article.

The following phase of the overview is an analysis of the most important findings and methods used in chosen research, with a particular emphasis on the role that microfinance plays in the reduction of poverty, access to credit, women empowerment, and overall socio-economic

development in Uganda. It also examines the role of various microfinance models, including microcredit, micro-savings, and microinsurance, in achieving positive outcomes. Through the synthesis of evidence, this systematic review explores the factors that contribute to the success or limitations of microfinance initiatives in Uganda. It identifies challenges, such as high-interest rates, limited financial literacy, and sustainability concerns, that may hinder the transformative potential of microfinance programs. Claessens (2006) highlights the importance of context-specific interventions and targeted approaches to maximize the impact of microfinance. Recognizing the diversity of communities and their needs, the review emphasizes the significance of client-centred services and partnerships with local stakeholders. The findings of this systematic review contribute to evidence-based policymaking and program design, providing valuable insights for policymakers, Microfinance practitioners, and researchers working in the field of microfinance in Uganda. By understanding the strengths and weaknesses of microfinance initiatives, stakeholders can make informed decisions and develop strategies to enhance the effectiveness of microfinance in promoting inclusive and sustainable development.

In conclusion, this systematic review underscores the significance of microfinance as a potent instrument for social and economic transformation in Uganda. By critically examining its impact and identifying opportunities for improvement, this review aims to advance the microfinance sector's contribution to poverty reduction and empowerment in the country.

2. Literature Review

This literature review aims to provide a thorough overview of the impact of microfinance initiatives in Uganda, focusing on key areas such as poverty alleviation, entrepreneurship, women's empowerment, and rural development with a variety of services including loans, savings, and insurance. Microfinance has gained significant attention as a method for encouraging financial inclusion, the alleviation of poverty, and the growth of the economy in developing countries (Abrar, A. 2019). These programs have been lauded for their potential to lift individuals and households out of poverty. Microfinance has been used in Uganda since the early 2000s as a way to provide access to financial services to low-income people and businesses. There is a growing body of evidence provides evidence to support the hypothesis that microfinance can have a beneficial effect on the lives of individuals in Uganda. A 2017 study by the International Growth Centre found that MFI clients in Uganda were more likely to have a bank account, save money, and invest in their businesses than non-clients. The study also found that MFIs clients had higher profits and sales than non-clients (Korth, el at.2012). These services provided by the MFIs made it possible for them to obtain modest loans, raise the amount of financial support they received, reschedule loans, split loans, or pay loans in part. It's further provided financial flexibility and resources for consumer requirements and business expansion; nevertheless, its target demographic is mostly women who already have their own source of income, most commonly in the form of a microbusiness (Nathan, el at. 2004). In a similar vein, Kim et al. (2007) conducted research that demonstrated how microfinance treatments improved the ability of recipients to deal with adverse economic conditions, which in turn contributed to a reduction in the likelihood that they would fall into poverty. Microfinance initiatives in Uganda have been instrumental in promoting entrepreneurship and economic development at the grassroots level. The provision of microloans and financial services has facilitated the establishment and expansion of small businesses. Churchill and Mishra (2018) observed that microfinance played a vital role in creating employment opportunities, stimulating local economies, and fostering innovation among entrepreneurs in rural areas. Another study, conducted by the Microfinance Centre in Uganda in 2018, found that MFI clients were more likely to have increased their income and assets than non-clients. The study also found that MFI clients were more likely to have improved their living standards and food security. The research that has been done on the effects of microfinance in Uganda highlights its substantial significance in the reduction of poverty, the promotion of entrepreneurship, the empowerment of women, and the growth of rural areas. Even if the beneficial results are clear, it is essential to find solutions to problems relating to sustainability, outreach, and regulation in order to make the most of the influence that microfinance projects have. It is necessary for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers to work together in order to devise and put into action policies that make the most of the benefits of microfinance while minimizing the possible drawbacks.

These studies suggest that microfinance can play a significant role in improving the lives of people in Uganda. However, it is important to note that not all MFIs are created equal while some MFIs are more successful than others in delivering positive impacts on their clients (Becchetti & Conzo, 2013). In other studies, Ugandan microfinance institutions (MFIs) presumed that are wellmanaged, prioritize financial education provide a wide range of products and services for MFIs to be more successful, according to a 2019 study by the Centre for Financial Inclusion. These MFIs have a better chance of being self-sufficient and positive impact on the social and environmental dimensions of poverty (Banerjee et al., 2015). For example, microfinance can help to empower women, improve access to education, and reduce environmental degradation. Overall, the evidence found in various literatures suggests that microfinance can be a powerful tool for poverty reduction in Uganda. However, it is important to select the right MFI and to ensure that the services are tailored to the specific needs of the community. A thorough evaluation of the current literature on microfinance performance in Uganda can assist in identifying information gaps, highlight excellent practices, and influence future study. Researchers may get a thorough picture of the difficulties and possibilities that MFIs face in Uganda by combining the findings of numerous studies and developing evidence-based methods to improve their performance and efficacy.

2.1 Objectives and Purpose of the Study

Over the course of the last few decades, Uganda and many other countries in Africa have witnessed an increase in the prevalence of microfinance institutions, sometimes known as MFIs. These Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) play a significant part in offering monetary products and services to economically active people who are not typically serviced by traditional banking institutions. The success of these institutions has the potential to have a significant bearing on the reduction of poverty and the advancement of economic growth in the country.

As Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) in Uganda play crucial and active players in promoting financial inclusion. Their objective is to extend financial services to the unbanked population,

particularly those living in rural areas or those unable to access traditional banking services. The performance objectives of microfinance in Uganda can be understood from being a responsible lender as a key performance measure to ensure good lending and clients' capacity to repay back their loans.

Other objectives of this study include the followings;

- I. To assess the performance of Microfinance institutions in Uganda and study the broaden grassroots financial inclusion, enabling a larger proportion of the population to have access to financial services
- II. To examine the effect of the number of microfinance depositors on borrowers in Uganda and how micro-loans and other financial services aim to offer a means for individuals to start or expand small businesses, leading to increased income, improved living standards, and, ultimately, poverty reduction.
- III.To gain knowledge of job creation, fostering local entrepreneurship, and stimulating economic activity through microfinance schemes.
- IV.To establish the effect of the number of depositors on the Loan portfolio size of microfinance institutions in Uganda and explore the challenges, including concerns about over-indebtedness, high-interest rates, and predatory lending practices.

2.2 Study Hypotheses

This systematic review was carried out with the intention of providing insights into the factors that impact the efficacy of microfinance institutions in the country of Uganda with the core aim to answer the following alternative hypotheses;

Ha1: The effectiveness of outreach: The greater the extent of outreach conducted by a microfinance institution (MFI) in Uganda, the more effective it becomes in terms of achieving financial sustainability and making a significant impact on poverty alleviation, also, the number of Microfinance depositors will have a significant effect on borrowers in Uganda.

Ha2: The number of depositors have a significant effect on the Loan portfolio size of microfinance institutions in Uganda leading to positive correlation between financial performance and operational efficiency in microfinance institutions (MFIs) that are able to maintain a low operating cost in relation to their loan portfolio and number of clients.

Ha3: The stability and transparency of microfinance institutions (MFIs) in Uganda are enhanced by the presence of robust regulatory and supervisory frameworks thereby providing an array of financial products and services by microfinance institutions (MFIs) that has been found to be associated with enhanced financial stability and a more extensive societal influence, in comparison to MFIs that solely offer credit facilities.

Ha4: The success of microfinance institutions (MFIs) is positively associated with the implementation of staff training and capacity-building initiatives. Staff members who have received proper training are more adept at assessing potential hazards, effectively overseeing operational processes, and efficiently providing financial goods to the ultimate beneficiaries. Therefore, the integration and appreciation of local cultures, customs, and social norms by

Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) will be observed to positively correlate with higher rates of loan payback and increased customer loyalty.

Ha5: The Integration of Technology (IT) in the operations of Microfinance Institutions (MFIs), such as the adoption of mobile banking and digital transaction platforms will enhance efficiency and expand their reach. The (IT) will be strongly influenced by the macroeconomic environment of Uganda, to reduce inflation rates, and succeed in political stability, and overall economic growth.

Ha6: The financial performance of Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) can be influenced by their capital structure, which refers to the combination of loan and equity. MFIs that achieve an ideal mix of debt and equity in their capital structure tend to exhibit more resilience and sustainability. With this, the implementation of client education and awareness initiatives has been observed to have a positive impact on the default rates and overall performance of Microfinance Institutions (MFIs). These initiatives involve providing customers with knowledge and skills related to financial management, savings, and investments.

2.3 Anticipated Results:

This study aims to develop a complete understanding of the various elements that exert an effect on the performance of Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) operating in Uganda. This paper provides recommendations aimed at enhancing the effectiveness and impact of Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) in Uganda. These recommendations are intended for policymakers, stakeholders, and MFI managers. The identification of deficiencies in the current body of literature may serve as a foundation for future research.

This review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the microfinance performance in Uganda, serving as a fundamental basis for comprehending its dynamics. The findings of this review can play a crucial role in the development of strategies and policies that aim to enhance and fortify the microfinance sector in the country.

3. Methodology

A thorough examination of existing scholarly literature, research articles, official reports, and case studies pertaining to the operational effectiveness of Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) in Uganda is described. Data extraction templates are utilized for the purpose of extracting pertinent information from the sources that have been identified. Both qualitative and quantitative analyses are conducted in order to derive insights and make conclusions to arrive at a descriptive research design to assess the performance of Microfinance institutions in Uganda.

Descriptive research design provides the researchers with a profile of described relevant aspects of the phenomena of interest from an individual, organizational, and industry-oriented perspective (Kassu,2019). Thus, this research design helped the study to gather secondary data from the financial sector operating in Uganda. The study thereby adopted linear regression models to answer the study hypotheses.

3.1 Key findings on the performance of Microfinance institutions in Uganda

This section provides an analysis of the performance of Microfinance institutions in Uganda. The analysis is guided by the objectives of the study. It presents the effect of the number of

microfinance depositors on borrowers and the effect of the number of depositors on the Loan portfolio size of microfinance institutions in Uganda.

3.2 The effect of the number of microfinance depositors on borrowers in Uganda.

In this section, the study examined whether the number of microfinance depositors has a significant effect on the number of borrowers in Uganda. The results are presented below using a simple linear regression model;

Table 1: Models results showing the effect of the number of microfinance depositors on borrowers in Uganda

| | | | Model S | umma | ry | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Model R | | R R Se | quare | Adjusted R Square | | Std. Error of the | | | | |
| | | | | | | Estimate | | | | |
| 1 | | .251ª .063 | | .250 | | 105552.43053 | | | | |
| a. Pre | edictors: (Consta | ant), Number of d | epositors | | | | | | | |
| Coefficients ^a | | | | | | | | | | |
| Model | | Unstandardize | ed Coeffic | ients | Standardized | t | Sig. | | | |
| | | | | | Coefficients | | | | | |
| | | В | Std. E | rror | Beta | | | | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | 171841.944 | 9379 | 2.050 | | 1.832 | .164 | | | |
| | Number of | .051 | | .113 | .251 | .449 | .684 | | | |
| | depositors | | | | | | | | | |
| a. De | pendent Variabl | e: Number of bor | rowers | 1 | | | | | | |

Source: Author's computations based on data from FSD Uganda (2014)

The model findings in Table 1; above show that a unit increase in the number of depositors will result in an increase in the number of borrowers by 0.051 in the microfinance institutions of Uganda. However, it is observed that the effect of the number of microfinance depositors on borrowers is not significant because the P-value (0.684) is above the level of significance of 0.05. Therefore, this implies that an increase in the number of borrowers from microfinance institutions in Uganda is not determined by the number of depositors.

3.3 Effect of the number of depositors on the Loan portfolio size of microfinance institutions in Uganda

The study also investigated if there is a significant effect of the number of depositors on the Loan portfolio size of microfinance institutions in Uganda. The findings are presented below using a linear regression model;

Table 2: Regression results showing the effect of the number of depositors on the Loan portfolio size (Bn UGX) of microfinance institutions in Uganda

| | | |] | Model Sı | imma | ry | | |
|---------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------|
| Model | del R | | R Square | | Adjusted R Square | | Std. Error of the Estimate | |
| 1 | | .982ª | .964 | | .957 | | 50.62287 | |
| a. Pred | lictors: (Consta | nt), Number | r of de | epositors | | | | |
| | | | | Coeffic | eients ^a | | | |
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | | Standardized Coefficients | Т | Sig. | |
| | | В | | Std. Er | ror | Beta | Estin | |
| 1 | (Constant) | -41. | 230 | 24 | .658 | | -1.672 | .155 |
| | Number of depositors | | 001 | | .000 | .982 | 11.588 | .000 |
| a. Dep | endent Variable | : Loan port | folio | size (Billi | on UC | shillings) | | |

Source: Author's computations based on data from FSD Uganda (2014)

The findings from Table 2; above show that there is a significant positive effect of number of depositors on the Loan portfolio size (Bn UGX) of microfinance institutions in Uganda (P-value of 0.000 is less than 0.05 level of significance). It is evident that a unit increase in the number of depositors leads to an increase in the Loan portfolio size (Bn UGX) of microfinance institutions in Uganda by 0.001 Billion Ug shillings. The adjusted R-squared value (0.957) also indicates that the model is a good fit since the number of depositors in MFIs in Uganda explain 95.7% of the variations in the Loan portfolio size. Hence, this indicates that an improvement in the number of depositors in MFIs in Uganda results into a significant improvement in the Loan portfolio size (Bn UGX) of microfinance institutions. This makes enough available loans for the borrowers from MFIs whenever the number of depositors increases.

4. Conclusions and Recommendations

The study findings indicate that Microfinance institutions in Uganda have significantly grown especially in terms of depositors, borrowers, and Loan portfolio size based on the sensitization of the people in the country about the benefits of borrowing and venturing into productive activities and businesses. As a systematic review, it has a significant impact on the performance of individuals' financial support and reforms the tax systems such that it enhances the financial progress amongst communities in Uganda (Madinah & Bolatito, 2023). The review analyzed various studies on microfinance in Uganda, and it found that access to microfinance services has helped to alleviate poverty, improve financial inclusion, and empower women. It has also helped to provide loans, and savings, and other persons who had not previously had access to the conventional banking system are provided with financial services.

Consequently, those with higher salaries should contribute a greater proportion of their earnings t owards taxes. This measure would facilitate the redistribution of wealth and mitigate inequality.

This has enabled them to start or expand their businesses, generate income, and improve their living standards. Additionally, microfinance has been instrumental in promoting financial literacy and entrepreneurship, contributing to economic growth and development in Uganda. This is an essential feature of sustainable development, especially in the wake of Sustainable Development Goal 10, which focuses on eliminating disparities both within countries and between them. In order to effectively address the challenges and opportunities associated with microfinance, it is important to consider the following impact recommendations:

1. Coherent Approach: There is a need for a coherent approach to prioritize and implement microfinance initiatives in Uganda. This involves aligning microfinance strategies with the broader development goals of the country to ensure a comprehensive and integrated approach.

2. Sustainability Strategies: Microfinance institutions in Uganda should enhance the impact of their activities by incorporating sustainability strategies. This involves incorporating principles and practices that ensure their operations are economically viable, socially responsible, and environmentally conscious. Implementing sustainability strategies in microfinance institutions (MFIs) in Uganda can lead to more positive and lasting outcomes for both the institutions themselves and the communities they serve. Here are some key sustainability strategies that MFIs can consider:

1. **Environmental Sustainability:** Green Financing offers financial products that support environmentally friendly initiatives, such as renewable energy projects, organic farming, and sustainable water management. These go with Eco-Friendly Practices that should help implement resource-efficient practices within the MFI's operations, such as reducing paper usage, energy consumption, and waste generation. Bank (2020).

2. Social Responsibility:

• **Client-Centric Approach:** Develop products and services that are tailored to the specific needs of the people of Uganda, particularly those in vulnerable areas or marginalized communities.

• **Financial Literacy and Education:** Provide financial education to vulnerable people and to enhance their understanding of financial concepts, empower them to make informed decisions, and reduce the risk of over-indebtedness through engagement with MFIs.

• **Gender Inclusivity:** Promote gender equality by providing equal access to financial services and opportunities for women and vulnerable people within the Community.

• **Community Development:** Invest in community projects that address social issues, such as education, agro-business, healthcare, and sanitation.

3. Economic Viability:

• **Diversification:** Expand the range of financial products and services offered to vulnerable people to generate additional sources of revenue and reduce dependency on a single product.

• **Risk and Infectious Management**: Implement robust risk assessment and management strategies to mitigate credit and operational risks that could threaten the MFI's sustainability. Nevertheless, the implementation of measures to limit the spread of infectious illnesses has significant repercussions and ramifications for the socially and economically disadvantaged individuals living in the slums, who mostly earn poor incomes. The severe repercussions resulted in pay deprivation, food scarcity, insecurity, sexual assault, familial distress, unintended pregnancies, adolescent motherhood, and inadequate access to sanitation and healthcare facilities. Contrary to the intention of improving the socio-economic position of the inhabitants in the slums, the situation worsened (Bolatito & Madinah, 2023).

• **Technology Integration:** Embrace technology solutions to improve operational efficiency, reduce costs, and reach a larger community in Uganda base through digital channels.

4. Transparency and Governance:

• **Transparent Practices:** Maintain transparency in loan terms, interest rates, and fees to build trust with clients and promote responsible lending.

• **Strong Governance:** Establish effective governance structures and compliance mechanisms to ensure ethical behavior, accountability, and prevent corruption and its practice in all ramifications.

5. Impact Measurement and Reporting:

• **Social Impact Assessment**: Regularly assess the social and economic impact of the MFI's activities on its clients and communities in Uganda through the adoption of SWOT analysis.

• **Reporting:** Publicly disclose the MFI's sustainability efforts, achievements, and challenges to stakeholders, demonstrating a commitment to responsible practices within the country.

6. Partnerships and Collaboration:

• Engage with Stakeholders: Collaborate with governmental agencies, nongovernmental organizations, and other stakeholders to collectively address social and environmental challenges in the corridor of Uganda.

• **Peer Learning:** Participate in networks and platforms where MFIs can share best practices and learn from each other's experiences at the community-based and grassroots levels.

By integrating these sustainability strategies, microfinance institutions in Uganda can contribute to positive socio-economic development, enhance financial inclusion, and promote a more sustainable future for both their clients and the environment. It's important to note that the successful implementation of these strategies requires a holistic approach and ongoing commitment to continuous improvement. However, it was established that the number of depositors does not significantly affect the number of borrowers. Hence, there is need to design strategies and interventions geared towards encouraging people to borrow money from MFIs and at the same level.

In summary, the influence of microfinance performance in Uganda is a complicated and diverse subject that must be thoroughly examined and analyzed. Policymakers, practitioners, and academics may obtain useful insights into the role of MFIs in Uganda by conducting comprehensive evaluations of current research on inclusive financial systems, empowering underprivileged groups, and supporting sustainable development.

References

Abrar, A. (2019). The impact of financial and social performance of microfinance institutions on lending interest rate: A cross-country evidence. *Cogent Business & Management*, 6(1), 1540072.

Banerjee, A., Karlan, D., & Zinman, J. (2015). Six Randomized Evaluations of Microcredit: Introduction and Further steps. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 7(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20140287

Becchetti, L., & Conzo, P. (2013). Credit access and life satisfaction: evaluating the nonmonetary effects of micro finance. Applied Economics, 45(9), 1201–1217. https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2011.624086

Bolatito, A. S., & Madinah, N. (2023). The Effects and Challenges Of Covid-19 Lockdown On Social-Economic Sustainability In Uganda: An Exploratory Study Of Slum Areas In Kampala. Zenodo (*CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research*). https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7762135

Churchill, S. A., & Mishra, V. (2018). The impact of ethnic diversity on microenterprise start-ups. Applied Economics, 50(40), 4328–4342. https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2018.1444264

Claessens, S. (2006). Access to Financial Services: A review of the issues and public policy objectives. World Bank Research Observer, 21(2), 207–240. https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lkl004 Dorfleitner, G., Oswald, E., & Röhe, M. (2020). The access of microfinance institutions to financing via the worldwide crowd. The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 75, 133–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gref.2019.03.010

Drori, I., Manos, R., Santacreu-Vasut, E., & Shoham, A. (2020). How does the global microfinance industry determine its targeting strategy across cultures with differing gender values? Journal of World Business, 55(5), 100985. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2019.02.004

Financial Sector Deepening (FSD). (2014). The Uganda Microfinance sector effectiveness review. Available on: http://fsduganda.or.ug/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Uganda-Microfinance-Sector-Effectiveness-Review-2014.pdf

Girijan, S., & Ramachandran, R. A. (2022). Analysis of the double bottom line performance of microfinance institutions in India and the need for regulation in the Indian MFI sector. The Australasian Accounting Business and Finance Journal, 16(6), 106–119. https://doi.org/10.14453/aabfj.v16i6.07

Kassu, J.S. (2019). Research Design and Methodology. Published: August 7th 2019. DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.85731. https://www.intechopen.com/

Kim, J. C., Watts, C., Hargreaves, J., Ndhlovu, L. X., Phetla, G., Morison, L., Busza, J., Porter, J. D., & Pronyk, P. (2007). Understanding the impact of a Microfinance-Based intervention on

women's empowerment and the reduction of intimate partner violence in South Africa. American Journal of Public Health, 97(10), 1794–1802. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2006.095521

Korth, M., Stewart, R., Van Rooyen, C., & De Wet, T. (2012). Microfinance: development intervention or just another bank?. Journal of agrarian change, 12(4), 575-586.

Madinah, & Bolatito. (2023, October 10). The Impacts of (SDGs 10)- Reduce Inequality within and Among Countries: An Exploratory Discourse. *Journal of Harbin Engineering University*,

44(10), 247–257. https://harbinengineeringjournal.com/index.php/journal/article/view/1488

Nathan, F. O., Margaret, B., & Ashie, M. (2004). Microfinance and poverty reduction in Uganda: Achievements and challenges. Economic Policy Research Centre.

Norhaziah Nawai, Mohd Noor Mohd Shariff, Factors Affecting Repayment Performance in Microfinance Programs in Malaysia, Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, Volume 62, 2012, Pages 806-811, ISSN 1877-0428, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.136. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S187704281203577X)

Shieler, B., Emenike, K. O., & Amu, C. U. (2017). Credit Risk Management and Financial Performance of Microfinance Institutions in Kampala, Uganda. Journal of Banking and Financial Dynamics, 1, 29–35. https://doi.org/10.20448/journal.525.2017.11.29.35

Shieler, B., Emenike, K. O., & Amu, C. U. (2017). Credit Risk Management and Financial Performance of Microfinance Institutions in Kampala, Uganda. Journal of Banking and Financial Dynamics, 1, 29–35. https://doi.org/10.20448/journal.525.2017.11.29.35

Tushabomwe-Kazooba, C., & Kemeza, I. (2006). Repackaging Microfinance Institutions for Sustainable Development in the 21 st Century: A Case Study of Uganda. Asian Journal of African Studies, (20), 201-221.

Wanambisi, A.N., & Bwisa, H.M. (2013). Effects of Microfinance Lending on Business Performance: A Survey of Micro and Small Enterprises in Kitale Municipality, Kenya. The International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 3.